arXiv:1105.5031v3 [cond-mat.supr-con] 6 May 2012

Topological Field Theory for p-wave Superconductors

T.H. Hansson[1], A. Karlhede[1], and Masatoshi Sato[2]
[1] *Department of Physics, Stockholm University, AlbaNova University Center, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden*
[2] *The Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo,*
Kashiwanoha 5-1-5, Kashiwa-shi, Chiba 277-8581, Japan

(Dated: January 25, 2013)

We propose a topological field theory for a spin-less two-dimensional chiral superconductor that contains fundamental Majorana fields. Due to a fermionic gauge symmetry, the Majorana modes survive as dynamical degrees of freedom only at magnetic vortex cores, and on edges. We argue that these modes have the topological properties pertinent to a p-wave superconductor including the non-abelian braiding statistics, and support this claim by calculating the ground state degeneracy on a torus. We also briefly discuss the connection to the Moore-Read Pfaffian quantum Hall state, and extensions to the spinful case and to three-dimensonal topological superconductors.

PACS numbers: 74.20.De, 74.20.Rp, 03.65.Vf

Introduction – The great current interest in topological phases of matter is mainly due to the intrinsic scientific challenges to discover, engineer and describe them. The hallmark of a topological phase is that the elementary excitations carry unusual quantum numbers, and that the quantum mechanical wave functions acquire phase factors that are insensitive to noise, when these excitations are braided around each other. It has been proposed to code quantum information in these phase factors, with the prospect to greatly improve both quantum memories and quantum processors[1]; this possible technological application is fascinating. The field was initiated by the discovery of the quantum Hall (QH) effect, fueled by the theoretical proposal for topological spin liquids, and recently by the surge of investigations of topological insulators and topological superconductors (SC)[2]. The theoretical study of these systems range from detailed microscopic models based on realistic band structure, to general descriptions in terms of effective low energy theories. An important class of the latter are the topological field theories (TFTs) that distill the topological information about the states of matter. Prime example of such TFTs are the Chern-Simons (CS) theories that describe the incompressible quantum Hall fluids.

The perhaps most familiar system that is a topological phase is an ordinary s-wave SC, where the nontrivial braiding phase is the minus sign picked up by the wave function as a spinon (a neutral spin half Bogoliubov quasiparticle) encircles a vortex of strength $h/2e$ in the Cooper pair condensate[3]. That this is a *bona fide* topological phase was stressed by Wen[4], and it was later realized that the corresponding topological field theory is a BF theory, which in two space dimensions is closely related to the CS theories[5, 6]. For the case of three space dimensions it was known that the BF theories could provide a topological mass[7], and the connection to superconductivity was discussed in several papers[8]. A BF theory for d-wave SC was conjectured[6], and derived and analysed by Hermanns[9]. Recently it has also been shown that the TFTs describing topological insulators are of the BF type[10]. It is thus natural to assume that also a chiral p-wave superconductor should be described by a BF theory, but it is also obvious that such a theory must be more complicated in order to correctly describe the gapless Majorana modes known to exist both in vortex cores, and at boundaries[11, 12]. Furthermore, the vortices must satisfy the braiding rules appropriate for Ising type non-abelian statistics[12–15]. In this letter we propose a TFT for a two-dimensional spin-less chiral SC that satisfies these requirements, comment upon the relation to the Moore-Read Pfaffian QH state, and briefly touch upon how to incorporate spin and how to generalize to the three-dimensional case.

Our theory differ from previously proposed effective theories for the Moore-Read state in that it has a fundamental Majorana fermion field that enters at the same level as the topological gauge fields, and just as these it does not have any bulk dynamics. In the same way as a Chern-Simons gauge field, it does have dynamics on edges, but also at vortex cores, which for many purposes can be modeled as internal boundaries. The rationale for this picture is that it explicitly exhibits the full low energy content of the theory. This is in contrast to the approach based on non-abelian Chern-Simons theory[16], where the presence of Majorana zero modes enters implicitly by the choice of representation of the Wilson loops that describe the worldlines of the fundamental quasiparticles.

The model – Our model is defined by

$$Z[j_{\mathrm{v}}, j_{\mathrm{q}}] = \int \mathcal{D}[a_\mu]\mathcal{D}[b_\mu]\mathcal{D}[\gamma] e^{i\int d^3x\,\mathcal{L}} \qquad (1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}[b_\mu\partial_\nu a_\rho + \frac{i}{4}a_\mu\partial_\nu\gamma\,\partial_\rho\gamma] - j^\mu_{\mathrm{q}} a_\mu - j^\mu_{\mathrm{v}} b_\mu + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gf}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\left(b_\mu + \frac{1}{4}\gamma\, i\partial_\mu\gamma\right)\partial_\nu a_\rho - j^\mu_{\mathrm{q}} a_\mu \\
&- j^\mu_{\mathrm{v}} b_\mu - \frac{i}{4\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\partial_\mu\left[a_\nu\gamma\,\partial_\rho\gamma\right] + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gf}}\,, \qquad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

where the second line is obtained by partial integration. j_{v} and j_{q} are vortex and quasiparticle currents respectively, a and b are gauge potentials, and γ is a (one-component) Majorana fermion. $\mathcal{L}_{\rm gf}$ is a gauge fixing term that is discussed below. Including only the first term in the parenthesis, we retain the BF action, $\sim bda$, for an s-wave SC. Under parity, P, $(x, y) \to (-x, y)$, the fields in (2) transform as $(a_0, a_x, a_y) \to (a_0, -a_x, a_y)$, $(b_0, b_x, b_y) \to (-b_0, b_x, -b_y)$, and $\gamma \to \gamma$ and under time reversal, T, as $(a_0, a_x, a_y) \to (a_0, -a_x, -a_y)$, $(b_0, b_x, b_y) \to (-b_0, b_x, b_y)$ and $\gamma \to \gamma$. As is well known, this implies that bda is invariant under both P and T, while the new term $i\gamma d\gamma da$ violates both these symmetries as appropriate for a chiral SC.

We now give a heuristic argument, which will be made more precise later, for why (1) and (2) describe a SC with dynamical Majorana modes localized on vortices and edges. Up to boundary terms, (2) is invariant under the two gauge transformations, $a_\mu \to a_\mu + \partial_\mu \Lambda_a$ and $b_\mu \to b_\mu + \partial_\mu \Lambda_b$, and it is known that in the s-wave case the boundary supports bosonic degrees of freedom that will generically be gapped[6]. In the present case, this is not true as will be discussed later. The terms in the second line of (2) possess another local symmetry,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &\to \gamma + 2\theta, \\ b_\mu &\to b_\mu - i\theta\partial_\mu\gamma/2 - i\gamma\partial_\mu\theta/2 - i\theta\partial_\mu\theta \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where θ is a Majorana fermion field. This symmetry is violated by the terms on the third line of (2), *i.e.* by the total derivative term and the one proportional to the vortex current. This implies that the Majorana fermion can be gauged away everywhere except at the boundary and at vortex cores, where it emerges as a dynamical degree of freedom, in close analogy to the bosonic edge modes in CS or BF theories[6, 17]. Using the suggestive notation

$$\tilde{b}_\mu = i\gamma\partial_\mu\gamma/4 \, , \tag{4}$$

we note that (2) is quadratic in a, b and $\tilde{b}$, and linear in derivatives.

Integrating the Lagrange multiplier field b, yields $da = \pi j_{\rm v}$, showing that a unit strength vortex carries a flux π. Using the notation $\frac{\pi}{d}$ for the pertinent Green's function, we have $a = \frac{\pi}{d} j_{\rm v} + d\Lambda_a$ and substituting this in (2) gives the bulk Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} j^\mu_{\rm v} \gamma i\partial_\mu\gamma - j^\mu_{\rm q} a_\mu = \mathcal{L}_{\rm maj} - j_{\rm v}\frac{\pi}{d} j_{\rm q} \, , \tag{5}$$

where we ignored the gauge-fixing term. The last term in (5) is an abelian statistical interaction that gives a π phase for a vortex circling a quasiparticle. If there were no internal structure to the vortices, *i.e.* no Majorana modes, two vortices with the same charge would be identical bosons.

To exhibit the Majorana modes, we consider a vortex source corresponding to N Wilson loops $W_{C_a} = \exp(i\oint_{C_a} dx^\mu b_\mu)$,

$$j^\mu_{\rm v}(x^\mu) = \sum_{a=1}^{N} \int_0^1 d\tau\, \delta^3(x^\mu - x^\mu_a(\tau)) \frac{x^\mu_a(\tau)}{d\tau} \ , \tag{6}$$

where the loop C_a is parametrized as $x^\mu_a(\tau)$. Substituting in (5) yields the action

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm maj} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \int_0^1 d\tau\, \gamma_a(\tau) i\partial_\tau \gamma_a(\tau) \, , \tag{7}$$

where $\gamma_a(\tau) \equiv \gamma(x^\mu_a(\tau))$. For the simple case of the loops extending over all times, and crossing any fixed time surface only twice, we can use the time, t, as a parameter to rewrite this as,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm maj} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a=1}^{2N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt\, \gamma_a(t) i\partial_t \gamma_a(t) \, , \tag{8}$$

which, as promised, describes a collection of gapless Majorana fermions living on the world lines of the vortices.

Braiding and statistics – To demonstrate that (8) reproduces the Ising braiding statistics of the vortices, we switch to an operator description, where (8) implies the canonical commutation relations,

$$\{\hat\gamma_a(t), \hat\gamma_b(t)\} = 2\delta_{ab} \, . \tag{9}$$

Since there is an even number of vortices we can form the complex Dirac fields $\hat\psi_A = (\hat\gamma_{2A-1} + i\hat\gamma_{2A})/2$, where $A = 1, 2 \ldots N$, which together with $\hat\psi^\dagger_A$ satisfy $\{\hat\psi^\dagger_A, \hat\psi_B\} = \delta_{AB}$ and $\{\hat\psi_A, \hat\psi_B\} = \{\hat\psi^\dagger_A, \hat\psi^\dagger_B\} = 0$. The pairing of Majorana fermions in the Dirac fields is arbitrary, and amounts to a choice of basis in the Hilbert space spanned by the vectors $|\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N\rangle = |\alpha_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\alpha_N\rangle$, where $\alpha_A = 0, 1$ and $\hat\psi^\dagger_A|0\rangle = |1\rangle$ and $\hat\psi_A|1\rangle = |0\rangle$. The full description of the low-energy sector, in the absence of quasiparticles, is thus in terms of the state vectors, $|\vec{x}_1 \ldots \vec{x}_{2N}; \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N\rangle$, since the sources determine the gauge potentials $a(\vec{x}, t)$ and $b(\vec{x}, t)$ up to gauge transformations. Also note that the Hamiltonian corresponding to the action (8) is identically zero, so the time evolution is entirely determined by Berry matrices. From general principles, we also know that these statistical matrices will only depend on the braiding patterns, since they will constitute a (non-abelian) representation of the braid group. This group is generated by the elementary exchanges $\mathrm{T}_a : \vec{x}_a \leftrightarrow \vec{x}_{a+1}$, that do not involve any braiding with the other vortices, and these exchange processes can be viewed as time evolutions,

$$\begin{aligned} & U(T)|\vec{x}_1 \ldots \vec{x}_a, \vec{x}_{a+1} \ldots \vec{x}_{2N}; \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N\rangle_0 \quad (10) \\ &= \sum_{\{\alpha'\}} C_{\alpha'_1 \ldots \alpha'_{N/2}} |\vec{x}_1 \ldots \vec{x}_{a+1}, \vec{x}_a \ldots \vec{x}_{2N}; \alpha'_1 \cdots \alpha'_N\rangle_T \, . \end{aligned}$$

where the unitary operator $U(T)$ interchanges the position of the a-th and $(a+1)$-st vortex, leaving the others unchanged. We assume that the Majorana operators, $\hat\gamma_a$, which are attached to the vortices, are interchanged when the corresponding vortices are interchanged, $U(T)\hat\gamma_a U^\dagger(T) = \zeta_a \hat\gamma_{a+1}$ and $U(T)\hat\gamma_{a+1} U^\dagger(T) = \zeta_{a+1}\hat\gamma_a$. Since $\hat\gamma^\dagger_a = \hat\gamma_a$, and the commutations relations should be preserved, we must have $\zeta_a = \pm 1$, but any combination of these signs is allowed. There are, however, only two unitary bosonic[25] operators that exchange $\hat{\gamma}_a$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{a+1}$, while leaving all other $\hat{\gamma}$s unchanged. These are $U_\pm = e^{\pm\frac{\pi}{4}\hat{\gamma}_a\hat{\gamma}_{a+1}}$, corresponding to $\zeta_a = -\zeta_{a+1} = \mp 1$, which are precisely the transformations that imply Ising non-abelian statistics as shown by Wilczek and Nayak in the context of the Moore-Read QH state[14], and by Ivanov in the context of a Bogoliubov-de Gennes description of a *p*-wave SC[15]. If $\hat{\psi}_a = (\hat{\gamma}_a + i\hat{\gamma}_{a+1})/2$, then $U_\pm = e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{\mp i\frac{\pi}{2}\hat{\psi}^\dagger_a\hat{\psi}_a}$, implying *e.g.* $U_+|0\rangle = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}|0\rangle$ and $U_+|1\rangle = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}|1\rangle$, where $\hat{\psi}^\dagger_a\hat{\psi}_a|n\rangle = n|n\rangle$. That U is diagonal in this basis follows already from conservation of fermionic parity, so the non-trivial result giving the non-abelian statistics is the values of the phases. In Ivanov's treatment, the crucial relative sign, $\zeta_a = -\zeta_{a+1}$ originates from the coupling of the Bogoliubov-de Gennes quasiparticle to the superconducting order parameter, and we believe that a similar interpretation is valid also here, since the term $\sim a d\tilde{b}$ in the topological action identifies $\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i\tilde{b}_j$ as a Z_2 quasiparticle charge. The above argument for non-abelian statistics is based solely on the general properties of $U_\pm$, and it would certainly be interesting to derive the expression for $U_\pm$ directly by considering time evolution in the topological field theory. By quantizing the full field theory using canonical methods, one could determine the time evolution of the Majorana fields γ_a, and from there the time evolution of the state vectors. This is, however, quite complicated due to the singular nature of the constraints.

Ground state degeneracy on a torus – To further strengthen the case for non-abelian statistics we now show that, using a natural lattice regularization, there are three degenerate bosonic ground states on the torus.

Following the strategy of Refs. [6] and [18], we solve the constraints in the absence of sources as, $a_i = \partial_i\Lambda_a + \overline{a}_i/L_i$, $\beta_i = b_i + \tilde{b}_i = \partial_i\Lambda_\beta + \overline{\beta}_i/L_i$, where $\Lambda_{a/\beta}$ are periodic and $\overline{a}_i$, $\overline{\beta}_i$ are constant in space; L_i is the length of the torus in direction i, so $\overline{a}_i = \int_{C_i} dx^i a_i$ and $\overline{\beta}_i = \int_{C_i} dx^i \beta_i$, where the contour C_i winds the torus once in the i-th direction, but is otherwise arbitrary. Note that β_i is invariant under the fermionic gauge transformation. We get the Lagrangian L as $\pi L = \epsilon^{ij}\dot{\overline{a}}_i\overline{\beta}_j$, which implies the commutation relations, $[\overline{a}_i, \overline{\beta}_j] = i\pi\epsilon^{ij}$. Next we define the operators,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_i &= e^{i\,\overline{a}_i} \\ \mathcal{B}_i &= \int_{\gamma\in C_i}\mathcal{D}\gamma\, e^{i\overline{\beta}_i} = \int_{\gamma\in C_i}\mathcal{D}\gamma\, e^{i[\overline{b}_i + \frac{i}{4}\int_{C_i} dx^i\gamma\partial_i\gamma]}, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

with $\overline{b}_i = \int_{C_i} dx^i b_i$, which satisfy $\{\mathcal{A}_x, \mathcal{B}_y\} = \{\mathcal{A}_y, \mathcal{B}_x\} = 0$, since $\overline{a}_i$ and $\overline{\beta}_j$ obey the same commutation relations as $\overline{a}_i$ and $\overline{b}_j$ in the *s*-wave case. We would thus expect that, just as in that case, there would be a 4-fold degeneracy of the ground state as a direct consequence of the commutator algebra. The situation here is however more subtle, since $\overline{\beta}_i$ depends on the fermionic variable and the fermionic integration in $\mathcal{B}_i$ is necessary to obtain an operator that acts only in the bosonic part of the Hilbert space only. A direct calculation shows that for $\mathcal{B}_i$ to be non-zero, we must use anti-periodic boundary conditions on γ in the i-th direction, which is consistent with γ being fermionic. That we need the fermionic integration in (11) can also be understood from the Lagrangian point of view. If we try to calculate a correlation function including the naive operator $e^{i\overline{b}_i}$, we notice that this is not gauge invariant, and we cannot gaugefix the Majorana field on the contour C_i. We can thus naturally associate this integral with the operator, and to have a gauge invariant object we must also add the $\tilde{b}_i$ contribution to get $\mathcal{B}_i$.

The new complication occurs when we attempt to explicitly construct the four ground states. Since $\mathcal{B}_i$ measures the a_j flux, one would naively expect that the four degenerate ground states, just as in the *s*-wave case, should be labeled by thse fluxes. Denoting the $\mathcal{A}_i$ eigenstates by $|s_x, s_y\rangle$ we would have $|+,+\rangle$, $|+,-\rangle = \mathcal{B}_y|+,+\rangle$, $|-,+\rangle = \mathcal{B}_x|+,+\rangle$ and $|-,-\rangle = \mathcal{B}_x\mathcal{B}_y|+,+\rangle$. In our case, this is no longer true. The state $|-,-\rangle$ is absent since the operator $\mathcal{B}_x\mathcal{B}_y$ vanishes due to the fermionic integration, and we are left with three ground states as expected if the excitations obey non-abelian statistics. Heuristically, this "blocking mechanism"[18] comes about since the two contours C_x and C_y necessarily cross, which amounts to having two fermions at the same point. A more rigorous argument is given below.

Discrete formulation and fermionic gauge fixing – To prove the above statements about the operators $\mathcal{B}_i$, and also to explain the fermionic gauge-fixing, we extend the discretized BF theory due to Adams[19] to our Lagrangian (2). In Adams' construction, the gauge potentials are real-valued one-forms, a and b, defined on the links of two dual cubic lattices K and $\hat{K}$. The action is

$$S = \frac{1}{\pi}\int d^3x\, b \star^K d^K a \tag{12}$$

where $\star^K$ is a duality operator that relates a p-form on K to a $(3-p)$-form on $\hat{K}$, *i.e.* a link on K to a plaquette on $\hat{K}$ *etc.*. The coboundary operator, d^K , is the discretized version of the outer derivative d, so one can thus think of (12) as a sum of products of a link on the K lattice with the dual plaquette it pierces. Adams showed that (12) is invariant under $a \to a + d^K\Lambda_a$ and $b \to b + d^{\hat{K}}\Lambda_b$, and that it reproduces the expectation values of Wilson loops as calculated in the continuum theory. Using standard arguments, this also implies that the commutation relations among the operators $\mathcal{A}_i$ and $\mathcal{B}_j$ are the same as in the continuum. Also, the coboundary operator, d^K, satisfies the Liebniz rule, so partial integrations can be performed as usual[20].

The vortex Wilson loop W_C has the discrete counterpart $\exp(i\sum_{\langle ij\rangle\in C} b_{ij})$, where C is a loop on the dual lattice $\hat{K}$, and b_{ij} the gauge one-form on the link $\langle ij\rangle$. We can extend this construction to give a discretized version of our action by simply making the replacement $b_{ij} \to \beta_{ij} = b_{ij} + \frac{i}{4}\gamma_i\gamma_j$ where γ_i is a Majorana fermionic 0-form defined on the sites of the dual lattice $\hat{K}$. The discrete version of the fermionic gauge symmetry easily follows.

It is now clear that we have to gauge fix all the γ_i, except those living on the loops, and the simplest procedure is to introduce the factor $\mathcal{G}(\gamma_i) = \prod_{i \notin \cup_C} \delta(\gamma_i)$, where $\delta(\gamma_i) = \gamma_i$ is a fermionic delta function, in the path integral measure.

With this we have given a precise prescription for how to introduce point-like vortices, and shown that they will support dynamical Majorana degrees of freedom.

The discrete form of the operators $\mathcal{B}_i$ directly follows,

$$\mathcal{B}'_i = \int \prod_{\gamma_k \in C_i} d\gamma_k \, e^{i\sum_{\langle ij\rangle \in C_i}(b_{ij} + \frac{i}{4}\gamma_i\gamma_j)} \,, \tag{13}$$

where we note that the field in the exponent is the gauge invariant combination β_{ij} defined above. To evaluate the fermionic integrals, we first assume that there is an even number of sites in both the x and y directions. It is then clear that both $\mathcal{B}'_x$ and $\mathcal{B}'_y$ are non-zero, since all sites on the loop can be covered once, and only once, by expanding the exponential. The proper discretized form of the product operator is,

$$(\mathcal{B}_x\mathcal{B}_y)' = \int \prod_{\gamma_k \in C_x \cup C_y} d\gamma_k e^{i\sum_{\langle ij\rangle \in C_x\cup C_y}(b_{ij} + \frac{i}{4}\gamma_i\gamma_j)} \,. \tag{14}$$

This operator vanishes since there is an odd number of fermionic integrals and expanding the exponential will only bring down an even number of γs. Similarly, for an even - odd lattice, $\mathcal{B}'_x$ and $(\mathcal{B}_x\mathcal{B}_y)'$ will give new states, while $\mathcal{B}'_y$ vanishes, *etc.*. It is also easy to see that if we allow for fermionic states, corresponding to an odd number of vortices, there will be an extra ground state[11].

Edge states – We already stressed that the total derivative term in (2) violates the fermionic gauge symmetry and we can directly read off the action for the dynamical Majorana edge mode,

$$S_{\rm ed} = \frac{i}{4\pi}\int dxdt \, \gamma(x,t)[a_x\partial_0 - a_0\partial_x]\gamma(x,t) \,, \tag{15}$$

where x is the coordinate along the edge. The line integral $\oint dx\, a_x$ measures the total vorticity in the system which can have both a bulk and an edge contribution. In a p-wave superconductor an edge vorticity, corresponding to an edge current, is indeed expected. In our topological description this amounts to taking a_x as an unknown constant. The value of a_0 is formally a gauge choice, but this is somewhat misleading since it in fact determines the velocity $v = a_0/a_x$ of the edge modes and thus is related to the edge potential. The situation is very similar to that for the edge modes of QH liquids, as discussed by Wen[17]. For a circular droplet with radius R, the energy of the fermionic edge modes is $E = vl/R$ where l is the angular momentum, which is integer or half-integer valued depending on whether the boundary conditions are periodic or anti-periodic. For consistency, we must thus take periodic boundary conditions if there is an odd number of vortices in the bulk, and antiperiodic if the number is even. In this way the zero angular momentum edge mode will provide the missing Majorana zero mode, which combined with the localized bulk Majorana modes in a vortex form Dirac fermions. In a careful treatment of the gauge fixing, these boundary conditions are likely to be necessary for the fermionic path integral not to vanish.

External fields and the Moore-Read QH state – Coupling to an external electromagnetic potential, A, is obtained by shifting $a \to a + A$ as explained in Ref. 6. From the work of Reed and Green, we expect that our theory should also describe the non-abelian Moore-Read, or Pfaffian, QH state which can be viewed as a p-wave paired state of composite fermions[11, 21].

A crucial difference between this state and the p-wave SC is that flux and charge cannot be separated, so the charge $e/4$ qusiparticle current couples to both a and b,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\rm MR} \;&=\; \frac{1}{\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}(b_\mu + \tilde{b}_\mu)\partial_\nu(a_\rho + \frac{e}{2}A_\rho) \\ &+\; \frac{e}{4\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}a_\mu\partial_\nu A_\rho - j^\mu(\frac{1}{4}a_\mu + \frac{1}{2}b_\mu) \,,\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where we also added the coupling to A and omitted the total derivative and the gauge-fixing terms. To get a physical motivations for the coupling terms in (16), we note that the bosonic part can be written on the standard form[17],

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}t_I c^I_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho - l_I j^\mu c^I_\mu$$

where $c^1 = a$, $c^2 = b$, and $\mathbf{t} = (1/2, 1)$, $\mathbf{l} = (1/4, 1/2)$. We see that the l_I vector is chosen to describe a Moore-Read quasiparticle which is a half vortex with charge $e/4$. The second component in the charge vector t_I just expresses that A_μ couples to the charge current of the condensate, $\sim db$, with unit strength, while the factor $1/2$ in the first component expresses the flux charge connection in the quantum Hall fluid. The unit of vorticity in the vortex condensate, $\sim db$, is a unit (or Laughlin) vortex whcih carries a charge given by the filling fraction $\nu = 1/2$.

Following the same steps as took us from (1) to (5),

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm MR} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm maj} - \frac{e^2}{8\pi}AdA + \frac{e}{4}jA - \frac{1}{8}j\frac{\pi}{d}j \,, \tag{17}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\rm maj}$ differs from that defined in (5) by a factor of 2 and the presence of a coupling $\sim \tilde{b}dA$. The second term in (17) gives the correct Hall conductivity, the third term shows that the quasiparticles have charge $e/4$, and the last term provides them with the abelian statistical angle $\pi/8$, which in the conformal field theory description originates from the charge sector[13]. Thus the Lagrangian (16) encodes all the pertinent properties of the MR state.

We can add local source term with the $\mathbf{l}$ vector $\mathbf{l}_{\rm Lh} = (1/2, 1)$ to describe the charge $e/2$, semionic, Laughlin holes, but it is less clear how to incorporate the neutral fermions except as composites of the non-abelian quasiparticles. Finally, we note that the coupling of the current j to both a and b has a natural counterpart in the discretized theory[19].

Generalizations – The generalization of (1) and (2) to include spin, and to T-invariant topological SCs such as the proposed 2D p-wave Rashba non-centrosymmetric SC[22], can be obtained by combining several copies of (2), as will be described in future work[23]. A 3D s-wave SC is described by a TFT of point-like quasiparticles and string-like vortices [8], and a natural generalization for a 3D T-invariant topological SC is, $S_{\rm top} = \int d^4x \left[\mathcal{L}_{BF} - j^\mu_{\rm qp} a_\mu - J^{\mu\nu}_{\rm v} b_{\mu\nu}\right]$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_{BF} = \frac{1}{\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}(b_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{b}_{\mu\nu})\partial_\sigma a_\lambda \,. \tag{18}$$

Here the antisymmetric tensor gauge potential b couples to the world sheets of the strings defined by the source $J^{\mu\nu}_{\rm v}$[6, 8]. The new term involves the potential $\tilde{b}_{\mu\nu}$, which is defined in analogy with (4) but this time in terms of *two* Majorana fields γ_1 and γ_2 as,

$$\tilde{b}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}\gamma^T\sigma_\mu\partial_\nu\gamma \;, \tag{19}$$

where $\gamma^T = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$, and $\sigma_\mu = (\mathbf{1}, \sigma_i)$, with σ_i the Pauli matrices. Analogously to the 2D case, there is a local fermionic symmetry which implies that the Majorana fermions live only on the world sheets of the strings, and for the simplest case of a stationary, circular string with radius R parametrized by the angle φ, we get the action,

$$S_{\rm string} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int dt \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi\, \gamma^T \left(i\sigma_\varphi\partial_t - \mathbf{1}\frac{i\partial_\varphi}{R}\right)\gamma \tag{20}$$

with $\sigma_\varphi = \cos\varphi\sigma_y - \sin\varphi\sigma_x$. This action describes two 1D Majorana fields living on the vortex.

Summary and outlook – We have proposed a topological action, involving Majorana fields, for a two-dimensional spin-less chiral SC that contains fundamental Majorana fields. This action possesses a local fermionic symmetry such that the Majorana fields are pure gauge degrees of freedom except at vortex cores and on boundaries. We have identified these dynamical Majorana degrees of freedom and shown that they reproduce the known topological features of chiral SCs, including the non-abelian braiding statistics, and the three-fold ground state degeneracy on the torus. We also gave a discrete version of our model, where the concept of vortex core is sharply defined, and where the necessary gauge fixing procedure could be unambiguously defined, thus justifying the more formal treatment in the continuum model.

There are several interesting directions to pursue. We already mentioned the problem of canonically quantizing the theory, where one should be able to explicitly calculate the non-abelian braiding phases, the extension to the spin-ful case where the details should be worked out, and also the problem of how to consistently include an edge vorticity to describe the expected edge currents.

In addition one should clarify the relation between our effective theory by (16) for the Moore-Read state QH state, and the low-energy theory based on a $SU(2)_2$ Chern-Simons thory previoulsy proposed in Ref. [16]. Also, using the discrete model, it should be possible to calculate the expectation values of linked vortex loops, from which one can extract the non-abelian statistics as is done in [13]. A natural way to make the continuum theory (2) less singular is to add a (non-topological) Maxwell terms for the gauge fields. As explained in [6], this gives a finite London length and a dynamic plasmon mode. We expect that this will introduce gapped fermion modes in the vortices, but that a single gapless Majorana mode remains; this remains to be shown.

Although the fermionic gauge symmetry (3) is not a supersymmetry, it is nevertheless interesting to speculate that there might be a connection to supersymmetric models. An obvious candidate to study would be the supersymmetric extension of the relativistic abelian Higgs model that gives a microscopic derivation of the BF theory for s-wave SCs [6, 8].

Another challenging task is to investigate the 3D models of the type (18), especially concerning edge modes. Also, since the Majorana modes live on strings, there might be a connection between the lattice version of (18) and the Kitaev chain[24], which is known to support Majorana modes at the ends of open strings.

Acknowledgement: We thank Fawad Hassan, Parameswaran Nair, Alexis Polychronakos, Shivaji Sondhi for helpful discussions, and D.H. Adams for explaining some results in Refs. [19] and [20] to us. This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council, and the Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research from MEXT of Japan "Topological Quantum Phenomena" No.22103005 and No.22540383.

---

[1] C. Nayak, S.H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1083 (2008).

[2] For recent reviews, see Y. Tanaka, M. Sato, and N. Nagaosa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **81**, 011013 (2012); X.-L. Qi, and S.C. Zhang, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 1057 (2011); M.Z. Hasan and C.L. Kane, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 3045 (2010).

[3] S.A. Kivelson and D.S. Rokhsar, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 11693 (1990).

[4] X.-G. Wen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **5** 1641 (1991).

[5] J.M. Leinaas, Colloquium given at Stockholm University,

Nov. 1997.

[6] T.H. Hansson, V. Oganesyan and S.L. Sondhi, Ann. of Phys. **313**, 497 (2004).

[7] T. J. Allen, M. Bowick and A. Lahiri, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**6**, 559 (1991).

[8] A.P. Balachandran and P. Teotonio-Sobrinho, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A8**, 723 (1993); M. Sato and S. Yahikozawa, Nucl. Phys. B **436** 100 (1995); M. Diamantini, P. Sodano and C. Trugenberger, Eur. Phys. J. B**53**, 19 (2006).

[9] M. Hermanns, *Order in 2D nodal superconductors* , Master thesis, Stockholm University, 2006; arXiv:0804.1332.

[10] G.Y. Cho and J.E. Moore, Ann. of Phys. **326**, 1515 (2011).

[11] N. Read and D. Green, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 10267 (2000).

[12] P. Fendley, M.P.A. Fisher and C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 045317 (2007).

[13] G. Moore, and N. Read, Nucl. Phys. B **360**, 362 (1991).

[14] C. Nayak and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B **479**, 529 (1996).

[15] D.A. Ivanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 268 (2001).

[16] E. Fradkin, C. Nayak, A. Tsvelik and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B **516**, 704 (1998).

[17] X.-G. Wen, Adv. Phys. **44**, 405 (1995).

[18] M. Oshikawa, et. al., Ann. Phys. **322**, 1477 (2007).

[19] D.H. Adams, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 4155 (1997).

[20] D.H. Adams, arXiv:hep-th/9612009, and private communication.

[21] M. Greiter, X. G. Wen, and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. **B 374**, 567 (1992).

[22] M. Sato and S. Fujimoto, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 094504 (2009).

[23] T.H. Hansson, A. Karlhede and M. Sato, in preparation.

[24] A.Yu. Kitaev, Usp. Fiz. Nauk (Suppl.) **171**, 131 (2001).

[25] Fermionic parity is preserved under time evolution so U must be unitary and bosonic.